1. INTRODUCTION

Progress in the growth of ferroelectric superlattices (SLs) with a layer thickness controlled with accuracy of one monolayer has opened up new ways for the preparation of multifunctional ferroelectric materials with high values of the spontaneous polarization, Curie temperature, dielectric constant, and its nonlinearity in the electric field. In view of the fact that the properties of ferroelectric superlattices have been poorly studied experimentally to date, their theoretical analysis can enable one to find promising directions of investigation and application of these new materials.

The study of thin epitaxial films of ferroelectrics with a perovskite structure has demonstrated that their properties differ noticeably from those of bulk samples. It has been established that the properties of films are most strongly affected by the mechanical strain produced in them by the substrate. Owing to the strong coupling between the mechanical strain and polarization, these strains have a substantial effect on the ferroelectric phase transition temperature and can lead to the appearance of unusual polar states in thin films [1–4].

Among ferroelectric superlattices, strained BaTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ superlattices have been experimentally investigated most thoroughly to date [5–20]. The first-principles calculations of the properties of these superlattices [18, 19, 21–28] have made it possible to reveal the main effects responsible for the formation of the polar state in these structures. The specific feature of the superlattices under consideration is that the mechanical strain arising in them due to the difference between the lattice parameters in BaTiO$_3$ and SrTiO$_3$ lead to the competition between the polar states in neighboring layers of the superlattice; as a result, the polar phase in the superlattice can appear to be tetragonal, monoclinic, or orthorhombic depending on the mechanical boundary conditions at the interface with the substrate.

However, although the properties of the BaTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ superlattices have been studied in sufficient detail, a number of questions remain open. In particular, first-principles studies of the dielectric properties of these superlattices [23, 24] have revealed only the polar phases $P4mm$ and $Cm$, whereas the phase $Amm2$, which is characteristic of stretched BaTiO$_3$ films [2] and PbTiO$_3$/PbZrO$_3$ superlattices [29], has not been found. Fragmentary data on the piezoelectric properties are available only for PbTiO$_3$/PbZrO$_3$ superlattices [30], whereas these data for BaTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ superlattices are absent. Finally, the elastic properties of ferroelectric superlattices and specific features of the behavior of these properties at boundaries between polar phases with different symmetries have not been previously studied at all.

This paper reports on the results of the calculations of the phonon spectrum; crystal structure of the polar
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phase; spontaneous polarization; and dielectric constant, piezoelectric, and elastic moduli tensors for free-standing and substrate-supported superlattices mBaTiO₃/nSrTiO₃ (m/n superlattices) with m = n = 1–4. For the 1/1 superlattice as an example, we thoroughly studied the influence of the compression (tension) of the superlattice in the layer plane on the structure and properties of the polar phase; determined the boundaries between the stability regions of the tetragonal, orthorhombic, and monoclinic polar phases; and investigated the specific features of the behavior of the static dielectric constant, piezoelectric, and elastic moduli tensors in the vicinity of these boundaries. Moreover, in the present work, we analyzed the important question regarding the thermodynamic stability of the BaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattices.

2. CALCULATION TECHNIQUE

The calculations were carried out within the density functional theory with the pseudopotentials and the plane-wave expansion of wave functions as implemented in the ABINIT code [31]. The exchange–correlation interaction was described within the local-density approximation according to the procedure proposed in [32]. As pseudopotentials, we used the optimized separable nonlocal pseudopotentials [33] generated with the OPIUM code to which the local potential was added in order to improve their transferability [34]. The parameters used for constructing the pseudopotentials, the results of their testing, and other details of calculations are described in [35]. In order to increase the accuracy in the determination of the orientation of the polarization vector in the monoclinic phase, the relaxation of atomic positions was performed until the Hellmann–Feynman forces decreased below 5 × 10⁻⁶ Ha/Bohr. The phonon spectra and the dielectric, piezoelectric, and elastic properties were calculated in the framework of the density functional theory from the formulas obtained from the perturbation theory. The phonon contribution to the static dielectric constant tensor was calculated from the determined frequencies of phonons and their oscillator strengths [36]. The spontaneous polarization \( P_s \) was calculated by the Berry’s phase method [37].

As a rule, epitaxial layers of superlattices are grown on substrates from a material with a cubic structure and the (100) orientation and the structure of atomic layers reproduces the substrate structure. In this respect, the calculations were carried out for pseudotetragonal lattices, in which the translation vectors in the layer plane have identical length and are directed perpendicular to each other. This means that, in the case of the monoclinic and orthorhombic polar phases, an insignificant (smaller than 0.07°) deviation of angles from 90° was ignored in the calculations. It was shown that this does not lead to a significant change in the results.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Thermodynamic Properties

For the practical use of the ferroelectric superlattices BaTiO₃/SrTiO₃, the question of their thermodynamic stability is of crucial importance. This characteristic is determined by the enthalpy of mixing of the superlattice and its relationship to the enthalpy of mixing of the disordered Ba₀.₅Sr₀.₅TiO₃ solid solution. The most complex part in the first-principles calculation of the enthalpy of mixing is the determination of characteristics of the solid solution, because the direct simulation of lattices with a large number of randomly located atoms makes this problem almost unsolvable.

A fundamentally new approach to the solution of this problem was proposed by Zunger et al. [38], who simulated a disordered solid solution with a special quasirandom structure (SQS), i.e., a short-period superstructure, for which, in the determinate filling of the lattice sites by \( A \) and \( B \) atoms, the statistical characteristics (the numbers of atomic pairs \( N_{AA}, N_{BB}, \) and \( N_{AB} \) in several nearest shells) are closest to the corresponding characteristics of an ideal solid solution. This method has been widely used to calculate the electronic structure and physical properties of semiconductor solid solutions and properties of ordering metal alloys. The properties of ferroelectric solid solutions have been rarely studied using this method [39, 40].

The structure of the disordered Ba₀.₅Sr₀.₅TiO₃ solid solution was simulated with two quasirandom structures SQS-4 with rhombohedral and monoclinic unit cells constructed with the gensqs program of the ATAT code [41]. The translation vectors, order of filling of atomic layers, and pair correlation functions \( \Pi_{2,m} \) (where \( m \) is the number of the shell) describing the deviation of the statistical characteristics of these structures from the ideal solid solution are listed in Table 1. It follows from this table that, in the SQS-4a structure, a noticeable deviation for the values of \( N_{AA}, N_{BB}, \) and \( N_{AB} \) arises only in the fourth shell, and the SQS-4b structure is characterized by small deviations in the second and fourth shells. The enthalpy of mixing \( \Delta H \) for the \( X \) structures under investigation (superlattices with different periods and SQS-4 structures) was calculated using the formula

\[
\Delta H = E_{tot}(X) - [E_{tot}(\text{BaTiO}_3) + E_{tot}(\text{SrTiO}_3)]/2
\]

from the values of the total energy \( E_{tot} \) (per formula unit) for completely relaxed nonpolar phases. The values of \( \Delta H \) obtained for these structures are presented in Table 2.

An unexpected result of these calculations was that the value of \( \Delta H \) for the two shortest period superlat-
tices appeared to be smaller than the value of \( \Delta H \) for both realizations of the disordered solid solution. This means that the BaTiO\(_3\)–SrTiO\(_3\) system is prone to superstructure ordering of the components. Small values of \( \Delta H \) for the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices indicate that the short-period superlattices are thermodynamically quite stable.

Our results differ from the results of the calculations of thermodynamic properties of the BaTiO\(_3\)–SrTiO\(_3\) system by Fuks et al. [42]. The analysis of the calculation technique used in this work showed that, in the calculation of the energies of different superstructures, the authors of [42] did not perform the relaxation of atomic positions and believed that the lattice remains cubic. Therefore, their values of the enthalpy of mixing for the 1/1 superlattice (42 meV per formula unit) include a large excess energy of elastic stresses.

It should be noted that the SQS-4 structures describe rather realistically the local distortions of the solid solution structure. In particular, the predicted interatomic distances in the nonpolar phase are in the ranges 2.738–2.782 Å (SQS-4a) and 2.731–2.782 Å (SQS-4b) for the Sr–O atomic pair and 2.782–2.815 Å (SQS-4a) and 2.776–2.826 Å (SQS-4b) for the Ba–O atomic pair while the interatomic distances in the nonpolar phase are in the ranges 2.738–2.782 Å (SQS-4a) and 2.731–2.782 Å (SQS-4b) for the Sr–O atomic pair and 2.782–2.815 Å (SQS-4a) and 2.776–2.826 Å (SQS-4b) for the Ba–O atomic pair.

Table 2. Enthalpies of mixing for several BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattices with different layer thicknesses and two SQS-4 structures simulating the disordered Ba\(_{0.5}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)TiO\(_3\) solid solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Vectors</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>( \Pi_{2,1} )</th>
<th>( \Pi_{2,2} )</th>
<th>( \Pi_{2,3} )</th>
<th>( \Pi_{2,4} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQS-4a</td>
<td>[21(\bar{1})], [1(\bar{1})2], [1(\bar{2})1]</td>
<td>( AA\bar{B}B ) (1( \bar{1})1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQS-4b</td>
<td>[210], [(\bar{1})20], [001]</td>
<td>( AA\bar{B}B ) (120)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-1/3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Ground State of the Strained Superlattice

As was noted in Introduction, the sequentially arranged layers in the BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattice produce mutual mechanical stresses in each other; as a result, the SrTiO\(_3\) layers appear to be stretched in the plane and the BaTiO\(_3\) layers turn out to be compressed. If the layers would be isolated, these strains should lead to the appearance of the spontaneous polarization with the vector \( P_s \) lying in the layer plane (space group \( Amm2 \)) in the SrTiO\(_3\) layers and to an increase in the polarization directed perpendicularly or at an angle to this plane (space group \( P4mm \) or \( Cm \)) in the BaTiO\(_3\) layers [1–3]. Since the state with a polarization rapidly varying in space is energetically unfavorable, the question of the orientation of the polarization vector in the ground state of the superlattice needs special consideration. Previous investigations of PbTiO\(_3\)/PbZrO\(_3\) [29] and BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) [23, 24] superlattices enable us to expect that the value and orientation of the vector \( P_s \) will depend on the substrate-induced strain in the superlattice.

The ground state of the superlattice was searched as follows. For a specified in-plane lattice parameter \( a_0 \) (varying in the range from 7.35 to 7.50 Bohr), the equilibrium structure of the nonpolar phase with space group \( P4/mmm \) was initially determined by minimizing the Hellmann–Feynman forces. Then, for this structure, the frequencies of the phonon spectrum were calculated at the \( \Gamma \) point. The stable state of the superlattice is characterized by positive values of all frequencies at all points of the Brillouin zone. Therefore, if there were unstable modes (with imaginary mode frequencies) in the phonon spectrum, small perturbations corresponding to the eigenvector of the less stable mode were introduced into the structure and the equilibrium structure of the distorted phase was calculated. The phonon spectrum and equilibrium structure calculations were repeated until the structure with all positive mode frequencies was found.

It should be noted that, in the nonpolar phase of the 1/1 BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattice, the ferroelectric mode at the \( \Gamma \) point is the only unstable mode. The well-known instability of the phonon spectrum of SrTiO\(_3\) at the \( R \) point of the Brillouin zone disappears in changing over to the superlattice: the phonon energy at the \( M \) point of the folded Brillouin zone (into which the \( R \) point transforms when doubling the
period along the c axis) in the free-standing 1/1 superlattice is equal to 56 cm\(^{-1}\).

The calculations demonstrated that, for the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices supported at the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate (the in-plane lattice parameter \(a_0\) is equal to that of cubic strontium titanate, \(a_0 = 7.3506\) Bohr), the ground state corresponds to the tetragonal polar phase with space group \(P\overline{4}mm\). For the free-standing 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices, the \(P\overline{4}mm\) phase appears to be unstable, undergoes a distortion, and transforms into the monoclinic polar phase \(Cm\). In the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices stretched in the layer plane, the orthorhombic \(Amm2\) phase turns out to be most stable. Therefore, the polar state of the structure can be rather finely controlled by varying the value of \(a_0\) (for example, by growing superlattices on different substrates).

In order to accurately determine the position of the boundaries between the \(P\overline{4}mm\) and \(Cm\) phases and between the \(Cm\) and \(Amm2\) phases, the ground state of the 1/1 superlattice was found for a set of lattice parameters \(a_0\) and the phonon frequencies for each of these structures were calculated at the \(\Gamma\) point. The dependence of the four lowest frequencies on \(a_0\) in phases stable for each lattice parameter is plotted in Fig. 1. It can be seen that, as the boundary between the \(P\overline{4}mm\) and \(Cm\) phases is approached from the side of the tetragonal phase, the frequency of the doubly degenerate mode \(E\) decreases critically. After the transition to the monoclinic phase, there arise two nondegenerate soft modes \(A'\) and \(A''\) in the phonon spectrum, of which the former mode again softens as the boundary between the \(Cm\) and \(Amm2\) phases is approached. The soft phonon mode on the side of the \(Amm2\) phase has a symmetry of \(B_1\).

By extrapolating (to zero) the dependence of the square of the frequency of the soft ferroelectric modes \(E\) in the \(P\overline{4}mm\) phase, \(A'\) in the \(Cm\) phase, and \(B_1\) in the \(Amm2\) phase on \(a_0\), we determined the lattice parameters corresponding to the boundaries between the polar phases. For the \(P\overline{4}mm\ – \ Cm\) transition, the boundary is located at \(a_0 = 7.4023\) Bohr in extrapolation from the tetragonal phase and \(7.4001\) Bohr in extrapolation from the monoclinic phase. For the \(Cm\ – \ Amm2\) transition, the boundary is located at \(a_0 = 7.4489\) Bohr in extrapolation from the orthorhombic phase and \(7.4483\) Bohr in extrapolation from the monoclinic phase. A small difference between the values found through the extrapolation from two sides of the transition enables us to believe that both transitions are close to second-order transitions. Since zero strain in the plane of polarized film corresponds to the lattice parameter \(a_0 = 7.4462\) Bohr, the superlattice strains corresponding to the phase stability boundaries are equal to \(-0.605\) and \(+0.032\%\).

### 3.3. Spontaneous Polarization

As was revealed in Subsection 3.2, the ground state for the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices supported at the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate corresponds to the tetragonal phase \(P\overline{4}mm\) with the polarization vector directed perpendicularly to the layer plane. The calculations showed that, for supported \(n/n\) superlattices, the spontaneous polarization \(P_s\) increases monotonically (from \(0.277\) to \(0.307\) C/m\(^2\)) with an increase in the layer thickness from \(n = 1\) to \(4\) (Table 3). For the free-standing 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices, the ground state corresponds to the monoclinic \(Cm\) phase, in which the polarization vector is rotated in the \((\bar{1}10)\) plane from the c axis of the tetragonal nonpolar phase by an angle of \(75°\) for the

#### Table 3. Spontaneous polarization in the BaTiO\(_3)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattices with different layer thicknesses, two SQS-4 structures simulating the disordered Ba\(_{0.5}\)Sr\(_{0.5}\)TiO\(_3\) solid solution, and tetragonal barium titanate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation of (P_s)</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1 SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[xxz]</td>
<td>[001]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_s), C/m(^2)</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data for the superlattices supported at SrTiO\(_3\) substrate.
1/1 superlattice and 63° for the 2/2 superlattice (the values of $P_s$ are given in Table 3). In the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices, stretched in the layer plane, the orthorhombic phase $Amm2$, in which the polarization vector lies in the layer plane and is aligned with the (110) axis of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase, appears to be the most stable.

Apart from the data for the superlattices, the calculated values of the spontaneous polarization for the tetragonal $BaTiO_3$ phase and the disordered $Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}TiO_3$ solid solution simulated with the SQS-4 structures (see Subsection 3.1) are listed in Table 3. It follows from the table that the values of $P_s$ in all superlattices are larger than those in the solid solution and $P_s$ in superlattices supported at the $SrTiO_3$ substrate even exceeds the value for tetragonal $BaTiO_3$. These results agree with the experiment [12].

Figure 2 shows the dependence of the components of polarization, which are parallel and perpendicular to the layer plane, in the polar phase of the 1/1 superlattice on the lattice parameter $a_0$. By extrapolating the dependence of $P_z^2$ and $P_{\perp}^2$ on $a_0$, we find the position of the boundaries for the $P4mm$–$Cm$ and $Cm$–$Amm2$ transitions: $a_0 = 7.4018$ Bohr and $a_0 = 7.4492$ Bohr, which are very close to the values obtained in Subsection 3.2 from analyzing the dependence of the frequency of the ferroelectric mode on $a_0$.

### 3.4. Dielectric Properties

The dependence of the eigenvalues of the static dielectric constant tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ ($i,j = 1, \ldots, 3$) for the 1/1 superlattice on the in-plane lattice parameter $a_0$ is plotted in Fig. 3. In the tetragonal phase, the eigenvectors of the tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ are oriented along the crystallographic axes and the eigenvalues $\varepsilon_{11}$ and $\varepsilon_{22}$ coincide with each other. Therefore, the dielectric properties of this phase are described by two nonzero independent quantities $\varepsilon_{11}$ and $\varepsilon_{33}$.

In the monoclinic phase, the polarization vector continuously rotates in the (100) plane and none of the eigenvectors of the tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ coincide with the crystallographic axes of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase. All three eigenvalues are different. In the situation when all nine components of the tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ in the coordinate system of the tetragonal lattice differ from zero, the representation of the eigenvalues of the tensor turns out to be most compact. In the monoclinic phase, the direction of the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue is close but does not coincide with the polarization direction.

In the orthorhombic phase, the eigenvectors of the tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ are oriented along the (110), (110), and (001) directions of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase. The vector corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue coincides in direction with the polarization vector, and the vector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue is directed along the (001) axis.

It follows from Fig. 3 that, with a change in the lattice parameter $a_0$, at least one of the eigenvalues of the tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ is characterized by the divergence at the boundaries between the $P4mm$ and $Cm$ phases and between the $Cm$ and $Amm2$ phases. As the $P4mm$–$Cm$ phase boundary is approached from the tetragonal phase, the components $\varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{22}$ of this tensor diverge, that is associated with the weakening of the stability of the polarization vector $P_s$ parallel to (001) with respect...
to its rotation in the (110) plane. As the boundary between the Cm and Amm2 phases is approached from the orthorhombic phase, the component ε_{33} diverges, that is associated with the decrease in the stability of the polarization vector \( \mathbf{P} \) parallel to (110) with respect to its rotation in the same plane.

### 3.5. Piezoelectric Properties

A high sensitivity of the value and direction of the polarization vector in the superlattices to strains enables us to expect that a strong piezoelectric effect appears in them. It is known that anomalously high piezoelectric moduli characteristic of ferroelectrics of the PbZr\(_{1-x}\)Ti\(_{x}\)O\(_3\) type in the vicinity of the morphotropic boundary are associated with the easiness of rotation of the polarization vector in the intermediate monoclinic phase under the lattice strain [43, 44]. A similar situation occurs in the monoclinic phase of the BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattice. As far as we know, up to now, the piezoelectric properties of BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) ferroelectric superlattices have been studied neither experimentally nor theoretically. The only superlattice for which the piezoelectric properties were calculated was the 1/1 PbTiO\(_3\)/PbZrO\(_3\) superlattice, which was used to simulate the properties of the PbTi\(_{0.5}\)Zr\(_{0.5}\)O\(_3\) solid solution [45].

The results of the calculations of the largest piezoelectric moduli \( e_{iv} \) (\( i = 1, \ldots, 3; v = 1, \ldots, 6 \)) for the tetragonal polar phase of the 1/1 BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattice supported at the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate and for the monoclinic phase in the same free-standing superlattice are presented in Table 4. It can be seen that the modulus \( e_{33} \) in the tetragonal phase differs little from the modulus for tetragonal BaTiO\(_3\). However, for the monoclinic phase, which corresponds to the ground state of the free-standing superlattice, the value of \( e_{33} \) appears to be five times larger. A stronger increase in the piezoelectric coefficient \( d_{35} \) expressed in pC/N (\( d_{iv} = \sum_{\mu}^{6} e_{iv} S_{\mu v} \)) is favored by an increase in the elastic compliance modulus \( S_{33} \) by a factor of almost 1.5 upon transition to the monoclinic phase (see in more detail in Subsection 3.6).

Changes in the piezoelectric moduli \( e_{iv} \) in the polar phase of the 1/1 BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) superlattice with a change in the lattice parameter \( a_0 \) are shown in Fig. 4. In the tetragonal phase, according to the symmetry principle, the moduli \( e_{11} = e_{12}, e_{33} = e_{34} \) (a total of three independent parameters) differ from zero. In the superlattices under investigation, only two of these moduli, i.e., \( e_{33} \) and \( e_{15} \), have noticeable values. As the boundary between the P4mm and Cm phases is approached from the tetragonal phase, the value of \( e_{33} \) increases monotonically and the modulus \( e_{15} \) exhibits a critical behavior and reaches a record high value of 80 C/m\(^2\) in the vicinity of the boundary.

In the orthorhombic phase in the coordinate system related to the axes of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase, the moduli \( e_{11} = e_{12}, e_{13} = e_{23}, e_{34} = e_{35}, \) and \( e_{16} = e_{26} \) (a total of five independent parameters) are nonzero. Among them, the moduli \( e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{34}, \) and \( e_{16} \) are noticeable (Fig. 4). As the boundary between the Cm and Amm2 phases is approached, the critical behavior is observed only for

---

**Table 4.** Values of the piezoelectric moduli in the monoclinic phase of the freely suspended superlattice BaTiO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\), the tetragonal phase of the same superlattice supported at the SrTiO\(_3\) substrate, and the tetragonal phase of barium titanate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation of ( P_x )</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>1/1 SL</th>
<th>BaTiO(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[xxz]</td>
<td>[001]*</td>
<td>[001]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{33} ), C/m(^2) (( d_{33} ), pC/N)</td>
<td>31.9 (460)</td>
<td>7.1 (49)</td>
<td>6.3 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{15} ), C/m(^2) (( d_{15} ), pC/N)</td>
<td>−0.09 (−19)</td>
<td>3.2 (31)</td>
<td>−2.9 (−24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data for the superlattice supported at SrTiO\(_3\) substrate.
the modulus $e_{34}$, which reaches a value of 192 C/m² in the vicinity of the boundary.

In the monoclinic phase, the behavior of the piezoelectric moduli turns out to be the most complex, because a change in $a_0$ leads to a continuous rotation of the polarization vector in the (1 1 0) plane and all 18 components of the tensor $e_{\mu\nu}$ in the coordinate system of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase differ from zero (the number of independent parameters is equal to ten). It follows from Fig. 4 that, in the monoclinic phase, the critical divergence is observed for the moduli $e_{11}, e_{15}, e_{16}, e_{17}, e_{13}, e_{14}, e_{23}, e_{15}, e_{16}$, and $e_{26}$ at the boundary between the $Cm$ and $P4mm$ phases and the moduli $e_{33}, e_{34}, e_{35}, e_{31}, e_{32}$, and $e_{16}$ at the boundary between the $Cm$ and $Amm2$ phases. It should also be noted that the phase transition is accompanied by a small (by $-10\%$) stepwise change in the modulus $e_{33}$ (at the $Cm$–$P4mm$ boundary) and the moduli $e_{11}$ and $e_{12}$ (at the $Cm$–$Amm2$ boundary).

3.6. Elastic Properties

As is known, a ferroelectric phase transition undergoing in a polar crystal is frequently appears to be ferroelastic; i.e., it is accompanied by the appearance of soft acoustic modes. This takes place if symmetric second-rank tensor (strain tensor) and polar vector transform according to the same irreducible representation [46]. Since the change in the parameter $a_0$ leads to transitions between different polar phases in the BaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattices, it is of interest to study the influence of these phase transitions on the elastic properties of superlattices. This is especially important because the elastic properties of superlattices have not been investigated at all to date.

The elastic compliance tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 1, ..., 6$) is characterized by six independent and nine nonzero components in the tetragonal $P4mm$ phase, nine independent and thirteen nonzero components in the orthorhombic $Amm2$ phase (in our coordinate system, the axes of which coincide with those of the tetragonal lattice of the nonpolar phase), and thirteen independent and twenty one nonzero components in the monoclinic $Cm$ phase.

The results of the calculations of the components of the elastic compliance tensor for the 1/1 superlattice in the polar state are presented in Fig. 5. It can be seen that, in the vicinity of the boundary between the $P4mm$ and $Cm$ phases, the components of the tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ undergo either a stepwise change (moduli $S_{13} = S_{23}, S_{33}, S_{66}, S_{16} = S_{26}, S_{36}, S_{34} = S_{35}, S_{46} = S_{56})$, or a critical divergence on the side of the monoclinic phase (moduli $S_{11}, S_{21}, S_{31} = S_{32}, S_{14} = S_{25}, S_{35}$), or a critical divergence on both sides of the boundary between the phases (moduli $S_{34} = S_{56}$). Upon transition to the monoclinic phase, the moduli $S_{14}, S_{15}, S_{16}, S_{34}, S_{35}, S_{36}, S_{45}$, and $S_{46}$ become nonzero. Upon transition to the orthorhombic phase, the moduli $S_{14}, S_{15}, S_{34}$, and $S_{66}$ vanish again and the other moduli remain nonzero. At the boundary between the $Cm$ and $Amm2$ phases, the anomalies of the elastic moduli are less pronounced: the moduli $S_{11}, S_{12}$, and $S_{66}$ undergo a stepwise change; the moduli $S_{13}, S_{14}, S_{15}, S_{16}, S_{33}, S_{34}, S_{36}$, and $S_{46}$ are characterized by a weak divergence on the side of the monoclinic phase; and the moduli $S_{34}$ and $S_{45}$ exhibit a weak divergence on both sides of the boundary.

The critical divergence of the modulus $S_{44}$ at both boundaries $P4mm$–$Cm$ and $Cm$–$Amm2$ suggests that the phase transitions occurring under continuous tension of the superlattice in the layer plane are ferroelastic.

4. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The results obtained in this work, which describe the influence of strains on the crystal structure of the BaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattice in its ground state, are not in complete agreement with the results of the calculations performed in [18, 22–24]. These results agree with each other in that the ground state of the free-standing 1/1 BaTiO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattice corresponds to the monoclinic $Cm$ phase, the in-plane compression leads to the transition between the $Cm$ and $P4mm$ phases, and the dielectric constant at the phase boundary exhibits a divergence. However, unlike our results, the authors of [23, 24] did not succeed in revealing the transition between the monoclinic and orthorhombic polar phases, even though they observed an almost complete rotation of the polarization vector.
to the ⟨110⟩ direction. The absence of this transition was indicated in [24] by the fact that the frequencies of all optical modes in the Cm phase remained real and increased under tension of the superlattice. We believe that our results are more correct because they are in agreement with the results obtained for BaTiO3 [2] and SrTiO3 [47] thin strained films, the data of the recent calculations for the 2/2 BaTiO3/SrTiO3 superlattice [25], and the results of the studies of one more PbTiO3/PbZrO3 superlattice [29]. In all these works the phase sequence P4mm–Cm–Ammm2 was observed under tension of superlattices in the layer plane.

Small differences between our data for the P4mm–Cm transition and the data obtained in [23] lie in the value of the minimum spontaneous polarization, which appeared to be 75% higher in our work, and in the value of the minimum spontaneous polarization, which characteristics of superlattices, such as eii, and S_{iυν} exhibit a divergence can be of greatest practical importance. As was shown in Subsections 3.5 and 3.6, these situations arise in the vicinity of the boundaries between the phases. The calculations demonstrate that, in the vicinity of the P4mm–Cm boundary, the piezoelectric coefficient d_{11}, which reached a value of 2300 pC/N, is the largest in the monoclinic phase, and the coefficient d_{15}, which reached a value of 6200 pC/N, is the largest in the tetragonal phase. In the vicinity of the Cm–Ammm2 boundary, the coefficient d_{15}, which reached a value of 9200 pC/N, is the largest in the monoclinic phase, and the coefficient d_{11} (10500 pC/N) is the largest in the orthorhombic phase. For comparison, we note that the record value of the piezoelectric coefficient obtained for crystals in the Pb(Zn1/3Nb2/3)O3–PbTiO3 system is 2500 pC/N [48]. An anomalous increase in the calculated coefficients d_{13} and d_{15} to values of ~8500 pC/N in the vicinity of the P4mm–Cm boundary was also predicted for isotropically compressed PbTiO3 [49].

Now, we discuss the elastic properties of the superlattices and the results indicating the appearance of ferroelastic phase transitions. According to [46], the phase transition 4mm → m in crystals with space group P4mm should exhibit ferroelastic properties. Upon this phase transition, lattice distortions are characterized by one or both nonzero strain tensor components u_4 and u_5, and a soft transverse acoustic phonon with the wave vector directed along the polar axis should appear in the phonon spectrum. The direct calculations of the frequencies of acoustic modes in the tetragonal phase at the point of the Brillouin zone with the reduced wave vector \( q = (0, 0, 0.05) \) confirmed this fact: as the P4mm–Cm boundary is approached, the frequency of the doubly degenerate transverse acoustic phonon with symmetry \( \Delta_5 \) decreases by a factor of five. The softening of this phonon is directly associated with the divergence of the elastic compliance moduli \( S_{44} = S_{55} \).

The ferroelastic phase transition should also occur at the boundary between the Cm and Ammm2 phases. According to [46], the transition mm2 → m should be accompanied by the lattice distortion described by one nonzero of three strain tensor components \( u_4, u_5, \) and \( u_6 \). In the chosen coordinate system with the orientation of the polar axis along the ⟨110⟩ direction (unusual for the orthorhombic lattice), the softening of the transverse acoustic phonon with a wave vector directed along this axis should appear. The direct calculations of the frequencies of acoustic modes in the orthorhombic phase at the point of the Brillouin zone with the reduced wave vector \( q = (0.035, 0.035, 0) \) confirmed this fact. Most likely, the specific orientation of the polar axis is responsible for the fact that the anomalies in the elastic compliance tensor at the ferroelastic phase transition Cm → Ammm2 are rather weakly pronounced. For the same reason, some components of the elastic compliance tensor appear to be related to each other: for example, the moduli \( S_{44} \) and \( S_{55} \) that exhibit the divergence in the orthorhombic phase satisfy the expression \( S_{44} - S_{55} \approx \text{const} \) in this phase.

An intriguing feature revealed in our work is that the character of atomic displacements in unstable ferroelastic modes in the 1/1 and 2/2 superlattices in the P4/mmm phase are quantitatively different: all metal atoms in the 1/1 superlattice move in antiphase with oxygen atoms for all three polarizations of vibrations, whereas, in the 2/2 superlattice, this holds true only for the A_{2u} mode. For the E_u mode, the amplitude of the displacement of different titanium atoms differs by a factor of approximately three and both Ba atoms vibrate in phase with O atoms and antiphase with Ti and Sr atoms.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Thus, in the present work, the properties of the mBaTiO3/nSrTiO3 superlattices (with \( m = n = 1, ..., 4 \)) were calculated within the density functional theory. A comparison of the properties of the superlattices with the properties of the disordered \( \text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3 \) solid
solution simulated with the special quasirandom structures SQS-4 showed that the BaTiO$_3$–SrTiO$_3$ system has a tendency to superstructure ordering of the components and that the superlattices themselves are thermodynamically quite stable. The ground state of the free-standing superlattice corresponds to the monoclinic polar phase $Cm$, which transforms to the tetragonal polar phase $P4mm$ under the in-plane compression of the superlattice and to the orthorhombic polar phase $Amnm2$ under the in-plane tension of the superlattice. All components of the dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_{ij}$), piezoelectric moduli ($e_{ij}$), and elastic moduli ($S_{ij\nu}$) tensors were calculated as a function of the in-plane strain of the superlattices. It was demonstrated that the dielectric constant in the monoclinic phase of the superlattices is noticeably higher than that in the same phase of the PbTiO$_3$/PbZrO$_3$ superlattices. The critical behavior of the components of the tensors $\varepsilon_{ij}$, $e_{ij}$, and $S_{ij\nu}$ was studied in the vicinity of the boundaries between different polar phases, and it was shown that the transitions between these phases are ferroelastic. It was demonstrated that uniquely high piezoelectric parameters can be achieved by finely controlling the superlattice strain.
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